

Application No.: 09/516,090  
Attorney Docket No. 99-003

### REMARKS

By this Amendment, Applicant amends claims 1, 15, 19, 28, 29, and 30 to more appropriately define Applicant's invention, and amends claim 22 for clarity. Claims 1-30 remain pending in this application.

In the Office Action mailed January 20, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 29, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ho et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,408,181); rejected claims 3, 5-8, 11, 12, 15-17, and 28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho in view of Wang (U.S. Patent No. 6,526,033); and rejected claims 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho in view of Wang and further in view of Farris et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,574,216).

The Examiner also allowed claims 25-27. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter. In addition, the Examiner objected to claims 18 and 22-24 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the recitations of each claim's base claim and any intervening claims. It appears that this objection originally present in the Office Action of July 2, 2003, was inadvertently included on page 16 of the latest Office Action.

Applicant notes that in the Amendment of October 2, 2003, Applicant amended claims 18 and 22 as suggested by the Examiner to include all of the recitations of each claim's base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, claims 18 and 22, as pending are independent claims and thus are allowable. Because claims 23 and 24 depend from rewritten claim 22, these claims are also allowable due to their dependence. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to clarify the status of claims 18 and 22-24 in the next Office communication.

Application No.: 09/516,090  
Attorney Docket No. 99-003

Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 29, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ho. Claim 1, as amended, recites a combination including, among other things, "preassigning a plurality of Internet Protocol (IP) addresses to the server; and configuring the server to associate one of the preassigned IP addresses with the mobile terminal." Ho does not disclose at least these features of Applicant's claimed invention. As noted by the Examiner on page 17 of the Office Action, these features were neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited prior art. Because Ho does not disclose all of the elements of claim 1, it cannot anticipate the claim. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and allow the claim.

Claims 29 and 30, while of a different scope, include similar features as allowable claim 1. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner allow claims 29 and 30 for at least the same reasons as discussed above in connection with allowable claim 1.

In addition, claims 2, 4, 9, 10, 13, and 14 depend from allowable claim 1 and are allowable for at least the same reasons as discussed above. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and allow these dependent claims.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 3, 5-8, 11, 12, 15-17, and 28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho in view of Wang. Claim 15, as amended, recites a combination including, among other things, "preassigning a plurality of Internet Protocol (IP) addresses to the server; and configuring the server to associate one of the preassigned IP addresses with the mobile terminal." As discussed above, Ho does not disclose at least these features. Nor does Wang make up for the deficiencies of Ho. Thus, neither Ho nor Wang, taken alone or in any reasonable combination, teach

Application No.: 09/516,090  
Attorney Docket No. 99-003

or suggest all elements of Applicant's invention as recited in claim 15. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection and allow the claim.

Dependent claims 16-17 depend from allowable claim 15 and are allowable at least for the reasons discussed above. Further, dependent claims 3, 5-8, 11, and 12 depend from allowable claim 1 and are allowable for at least the same reasons as discussed above. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of these dependent claims.

Amended claim 28, while of a different scope, includes recitations similar to that of claim 15. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner allow claim 28 for at least the same reasons as discussed above in connection with allowable claim 15.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho in view of Wang and further in view of Farris. Claim 19, as amended, recites a combination including, among other things, "a second table including information for identifying a home location register associated with the mobile identification number." Ho, Wang and Farris, whether taken alone or in combination, do not disclose or suggest at least these features. As noted by the Examiner on page 16 of the Office Action, these features were neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited prior art. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Claims 20-21 depend from allowable claim 19. Accordingly, these claims are allowable for at least the same reasons as discussed above on connection with claim

Application No.: 09/516,090  
Attorney Docket No. 99-003

19. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection and allow these claims.

**CONCLUSION**


In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 07-2339.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 20, 2004

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Joel Wall  
Reg. No. 25,648

Verizon Corporate Services Group Inc.  
600 Hidden Ridge Drive  
Mail Code: HQE03H14  
Irving, Texas 75038  
(972) 718-4800  
**CUSTOMER NO. 32127**